arXiv:1609.04487v1 [math.CV] 15 Sep 2016

ON BIHOLOMORPHISMS BETWEEN BOUNDED QUASI-REINHARDT DOMAINS

FUSHENG DENG, FENG RONG

Abstract. In this paper we define what is called a quasi-Reinhardt domain and study biholomorphisms between such domains. We show that all biholomorphisms between two bounded quasi-Reinhardt domains fixing the origin are polynomial mappings and we give a uniform upper bound for the degree of such polynomial mappings. In particular, we generalize the classical Cartan's Linearity Theorem for circular domains to quasi-Reinhardt domains.

1. Introduction

Let $T^r = (S^1)^r$ be the torus group of dimension $r \geq 1$. Let $\rho : T^r \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic linear action of T^r on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^\rho = \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^\rho$ is the algebra of ρ-invariant holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition 1.1. A domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called a *quasi-Reinhardt domain* of *rank* r with respect to ρ if D is ρ-invariant.

Note that quasi-Reinhardt domains of rank one are quasi-circular domains, which include circular domains as a special case. And quasi-Reinhardt domains of rank n are Reinhardt domains.

The classical Cartan's Linearity Theorem says that any biholomorphism between two bounded circular domains fixing the origin is linear (see e.g. [3, 6]). In [5], Kaup showed that any automorphism of a bounded quasi-circular domain fixing the origin is polynomial. This result was later generalized to more general settings by Heinzner in [4]. In [8, 9], Yamamori gave sufficient conditions for automorphisms of a bounded quasi-circular domain fixing the origin to be linear. In [7], the second named author introduced the so-called *resonance order* and *quasi-resonance order* for quasi-circular domains and gave a uniform upper bound for the degree of automorphisms of a bounded quasi-circular domain fixing the origin. In particular, a generalization of Cartan's Linearity Theorem was obtained for quasi-circular domains.

The aim of this paper is to generalize the results for automorphisms of bounded quasi-circular domains obtained in [7] to biholomorphisms between bounded quasi-Reinhardt domains. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let* ρ (resp. ρ') *be a holomorphic linear action of* T^r (resp. T^s) *on* $\mathbb{C}^n$*, with all* ρ*-invariant* (resp. ρ'*-invariant*) *holomorphic functions on* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *being constant. Let* D *and* D' *be two bounded quasi-Reinhardt domains with respect to* ρ

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A07; 32H02.

Key words and phrases. quasi-Reinhardt domain; biholomorphism; resonance order.

The authors are partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11371025 and 11371246).

and ρ' respectively, containing the origin. Let $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n)$ be a biholomorphism between D and D' fixing the origin. Then f is a polynomial mapping with degree less than or equal to the quasi-resonance order of ρ and ρ'. More precisely, each f_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is a polynomial with degree less than or equal to the i-th quasi-resonance order of ρ and ρ'.

Throughout this paper, we only consider bounded domains containing the origin. The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts from the representation theory of compact Lie groups. In Section 3, we establish the important fact that a biholomorphism between two bounded quasi-Reinhardt domains has constant Jacobian determinant. In Section 4, we consider the unitary representation on $H^2(D)$ induced by ρ, where $H^2(D)$ is the space of square integrable holomorphic functions on a bounded quasi-Reinhardt domain. In particular, we show that any minimal closed subspace of $H^2(D)$ which contains all irreducible submodules of $H^2(D)$ with the same character is finite dimensional. Using this fact, in Section 5 we define the resonance order and the quasi-resonance order for quasi-Reinhardt domains and prove Theorem 1.1. Finally in Section 6, we give a more detailed discussion on several spacial cases of our main result.

2. The representations of compact Lie groups

For the convenience of the reader and for setting up notations, we briefly recall some basics on the representation theory of compact Lie groups. For more detailed information, see e.g. [2].

Let K be a compact Lie group. A *unitary representation* of K is a Hilbert space V with a continuous linear action $\rho : K \times V \to V$ such that $\rho(g) := \rho(g, \cdot) : V \to V$ are isometries, $g \in K$.

Let $\rho : K \times V \to V$ be a finite dimensional representation of K. The *character* of the representation is the function χ on K defined by $\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\rho(g))$. Two finite dimensional representations are isomorphic if and only if their characters are equal. A function on K is called a character of K if it is the character of some representation of K. A character of K is called *irreducible* if it corresponds to an irreducible representation. We denote by $\hat{K}$ the set of all irreducible characters of K. It is known that any irreducible unitary representation of a compact Lie group must be of finite dimension.

Let V be a unitary representation of K. Let χ be an irreducible character of K. Let V_χ be the minimal closed subspace of V that contains all irreducible submodules of V whose character are χ. Then $V_\chi \perp V_{\chi'}$ for $\chi \neq \chi'$ and V can be decomposed as

$$V = \overline{\bigoplus}_{\chi \in \hat{K}} V_\chi, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\overline{\bigoplus}$ means Hilbert direct sum.

If χ is a character of K, then it can be uniquely decomposed as $\chi = m_1\chi_1 + \cdots + m_k\chi$, where m_i are positive integers and χ_i are distinct irreducible characters of K. We denote V_χ the subspace $V_{\chi_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\chi_k}$.

For $\chi \in \hat{K}$, the orthogonal projection p_χ from V to V_χ associated to the decomposition (2.1) can be constructed explicitly as follows:

$$p_\chi(v) = \dim\chi \int_K \chi(g^{-1})\rho(g)v dg, \tag{2.2}$$

where dg is the Haar measure on K, and $\dim\chi$ is the dimension of the representation associated to χ.

For example, if χ_0 is the trivial irreducible character of K, namely $\chi_0 \equiv 1$, then $V_{\chi_0} = V^K$ is the subspace of V consisting of K-invariant vectors, and the projection p_{χ_0} from V to V^K is given by the averaging $v \mapsto \int_K \rho(g)vdg$.

3. The Jacobian of Biholomorphisms between invariant domains

Let K and K' be two compact Lie groups. Let $\rho : K \to \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\rho' : K' \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be continuous representations with $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^\rho = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\rho'} = \mathbb{C}$. The aim of this section is to prove the following

Proposition 3.1. *Let D and D' be two bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ containing the origin, which are ρ-invariant and ρ'-invariant respectively. Then any biholomorphism from D to D' fixing the origin has constant Jacobian determinant.*

For a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ containing the origin, we denote by $\mathbb{I}_D$ the constant function on D with value 1, and $\mathcal{M}_D = \{\varphi \in H^2(D); \varphi(0) = 0\}$, where $H^2(D)$ is the Hilbert space of square integrable holomorphic functions on D. The inner product of $\varphi, \psi \in H^2(D)$ is given by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_D := \int_D \varphi\bar{\psi}dV$, where dV is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

From [4, p.708], we have the following

Lemma 3.2. *Let D be as in Proposition 3.1. Then $\mathcal{O}(D)^\rho = \mathbb{C}$.*

We first prove the following

Lemma 3.3. *Let D be as in Proposition 3.1. Then $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_D \perp \mathcal{M}_D$.*

Proof. For an irreducible character χ of K, let V_χ be the minimal closed subspace of $H^2(D)$ which contains all irreducible K-submodules with character χ. By the assumption and Lemma 3.2, we have $V_{\chi_0} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_D$, where χ_0 is the trivial character.

Since we have the following orthogonal decomposition

$$H^2(D) = \overline{\bigoplus}_{\chi \in \hat{K}} V_\chi,$$

it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_D \perp V_\chi$ for any nontrivial irreducible character χ of K.

Let $P \in V_\chi$. We write $P = P_0 + P'$ with $P_0 \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_D$ and $P' \in \mathcal{M}_D$. By (2.2), we have

$$p_\chi(P) = \dim\chi \int_K \chi(g^{-1})(P_0(g(z)) + P'(g(z)))dg = P_0 + P'. \tag{3.1}$$

Since the action of K on D fixes the origin, by comparing the coefficients on both sides of the second equality in (3.1), it is easy to see that $p_\chi(P_0) = P_0$ and $p_\chi(P') = P'$. So we have $P_0, P' \in V_\chi$, which is impossible if $P_0 \neq 0$, since $V_{\chi_0} \perp V_\chi$. So we have $P = P' \in V_\chi$ and $P \perp V_{\chi_0}$. □

We now prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof. Assume $f : D \to D'$ is a biholomorphism with $f(0) = 0$. Let u and U be the Jacobian determinant of f and $F := f^{-1}$ respectively. By a standard argument using the change of variables formula, we have

$$\langle u(\psi \circ f), \varphi \rangle_D = \langle \psi, U(\varphi \circ F) \rangle_{D'}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\varphi \in H^2(D), \psi \in H^2(D')$. In particular, we get

$$\langle u, \varphi\rangle_D = \langle \mathbb{I}_{D'}, U(\varphi \circ F)\rangle_{D'}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^2(D)$. Since $f(0) = 0$, $U(\varphi \circ F) \in \mathcal{M}_{D'}$ as long as $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_D$. So, by Lemma 3.3, $\langle u, \varphi\rangle_D = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_D$. Applying Lemma 3.3 again to D, we see that $u \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}_D$ and hence is a constant. □

For later use, we also need the following

Lemma 3.4. [4, p.712] *Let D and D' be as in Proposition 3.1. If D and D' are biholomorphic, then there is a biholomorphism between D and D' fixing the origin.*

4. Torus actions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and Quasi-Reinhardt domains

Let $\rho : T^r \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic linear action of T^r on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^\rho = \mathbb{C}$. Let D be a bounded quasi-Reinhardt domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to ρ with $0 \in D$. Note that the real Jacobian of $\rho(\lambda)$ has to be 1 for all $\lambda \in T^r$. The action of T^r on $\mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. So the induced action of T^r on $H^2(D)$ is a unitary representation.

Since T^r is an Abelian group, all irreducible submodules of $H^2(D)$ are one-dimensional. Thus the set $\hat{T^r}$ of irreducible characters of T^r is indexed by $\mathbb{Z}^r$. The character corresponding to $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ is given by $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r) \mapsto \lambda^{\mathbf{k}} := \lambda_1^{k_1}\lambda_2^{k_2}\cdots\lambda_r^{k_r}$.

For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, let

$$V_{\mathbf{k}} := \{\varphi \in H^2(D);\ \varphi(\rho(\lambda)z) = \lambda^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \varphi(z),\ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ \forall \lambda \in T^r\}.$$

We have

$$V_{\mathbf{k}_1} \perp V_{\mathbf{k}_2}, \quad \mathbf{k}_1 \neq \mathbf{k}_2, \tag{4.1}$$

and $H^2(D) = \overline{\bigoplus}_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^r} V_{\mathbf{k}}$. Note that from Lemma 3.2 we have $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$.

There exist unique $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \cdots, a_{ir}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, $1 \le i \le n$, and a corresponding coordinate system $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$, such that the representation ρ can be written as

$$\rho(\lambda)z = (\lambda^{\mathbf{a}_1} z_1, \cdots, \lambda^{\mathbf{a}_n} z_n). \tag{4.2}$$

Denote by A the $n \times r$ matrix formed by $\mathbf{a}_i$, $1 \le i \le n$. Then we have $\mathrm{rank}A = r$.

Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of non-negative integers. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\mathbf{a}^j = (a_{1j}, \cdots, a_{nj})$, $1 \le j \le r$, and set $\beta_j := \alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}^j = \alpha_1 a_{1j} + \cdots + \alpha_n a_{nj}$. Denote by $\beta = (\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. For $\varphi(z) = z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\varphi(\rho(\lambda)z) = \lambda^\beta z^\alpha$. So $z^\alpha \in V_{\mathbf{k}}$ if and only if $\beta = \mathbf{k}$, and $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ is expanded by such monomials z^α. For example, we have $z_i \in V_{\mathbf{a}_i}$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Proposition 4.1. *With the above notations, we have $dim V_{\mathbf{k}} < \infty$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$.*

Proof. By (4.2), we need to show that for each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, the following system

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 \cdot a_{11} + \alpha_2 \cdot a_{21} + \cdots + \alpha_n \cdot a_{n1} = k_1 \\ \alpha_1 \cdot a_{12} + \alpha_2 \cdot a_{22} + \cdots + \alpha_n \cdot a_{n2} = k_2 \\ \cdots \\ \alpha_1 \cdot a_{1r} + \alpha_2 \cdot a_{2r} + \cdots + \alpha_n \cdot a_{nr} = k_r \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

has only finitely many solutions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Note that $\alpha = 0$ is the unique solution of (4.3) if $\mathbf{k} = 0$ since $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$.

We argue by contradiction. Assume $\alpha^j = (\alpha_1^j, \cdots, \alpha_n^j) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $j \geq 1$, is an infinite sequence of distinct solutions of (4.3). Then we have $|\alpha^j| = \alpha_1^j + \cdots + \alpha_n^j \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$.

If $\alpha_l^j \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$ for all $l = 1, \cdots, n$, then we can find some $j_0 \gg 1$ such that $\alpha_l^{j_0} - \alpha_l^1 > 0$ for $l = 1, \cdots, n$. Then $\alpha^{j_0} - \alpha^1 \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is a nonzero solution of (4.3) with $\mathbf{k} = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Now assume that some components of α^j remain uniformly bounded as $j \to \infty$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha_{n_0+1}^j, \cdots, \alpha_n^j$ are bounded, and $\alpha_l^j \to \infty$ for $l = 1, \cdots, n_0$. Then $\alpha_1^j \cdot a_{1s} + \alpha_2^j \cdot a_{2s} + \cdots + \alpha_{n_0}^j \cdot a_{n_0 s}$, $(s = 1, \cdots, r,\ j \geq 1)$ can take only finitely many values. So there exists $\mathbf{k}^0 = (k_1^0, \cdots, k_r^0) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that infinitely many $\alpha'^j := (\alpha_1^j, \cdots, \alpha_{n_0}^j)$ solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 \cdot a_{11} + \alpha_2 \cdot a_{21} + \cdots + \alpha_{n_0} \cdot a_{n_0 1} = k_1^0, \\ \alpha_1 \cdot a_{12} + \alpha_2 \cdot a_{22} + \cdots + \alpha_{n_0} \cdot a_{n_0 2} = k_2^0, \\ \cdots \\ \alpha_1 \cdot a_{1r} + \alpha_2 \cdot a_{2r} + \cdots + \alpha_{n_0} \cdot a_{n_0 r} = k_r^0. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

As argued above, this implies that the homogeneous system associated to (4.4), and hence the homogeneous system associated to (4.3) have nonzero solutions in $\mathbb{N}^{n_0}$ and $\mathbb{N}^n$ respectively. This contradiction completes the proof. □

Remark 4.1. From the proof of Proposition 4.1, we see that $\dim V_{\mathbf{k}} < \infty$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ is indeed equivalent to $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$.

5. Biholomorphisms between quasi-Reinhardt domains

For any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we know by Proposition 4.1 that $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ consists of finitely many polynomials. Let $d_{\mathbf{k}}$ (resp. $D_{\mathbf{k}}$) be the minimum (resp. maximum) of the degrees of elements in $V_{\mathbf{k}}$. It is clear that $d_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{k} = 0$, and $d_{\mathbf{k}} \to \infty$ as $|\mathbf{k}| \to \infty$.

Set

$$K_\rho^l := \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r;\ d_{\mathbf{k}} = 1\}, \quad V_\rho^l := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in K_\rho^l} V_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

The *resonance order* of ρ is defined as

$$\mu_\rho = \max\{D_{\mathbf{k}};\ \mathbf{k} \in K_\rho^l\}.$$

A more explicit description can be given as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define the *i-th resonance set* as

$$E_i := \{\alpha;\ \alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}^j = \mathbf{a}_{ij},\ 1 \leq j \leq r\}, \quad i.e.\ z^\alpha \in V_{\mathbf{a}_i},$$

and the *i-th resonance order* as

$$\mu_i := \max\{|\alpha|;\ \alpha \in E_i\} = D_{\mathbf{a}_i}. \tag{5.1}$$

Then, define the *resonance set* as

$$E := \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i,$$

and the *resonance order* as

$$\mu_\rho := \max\{|\alpha|;\ \alpha \in E\} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu_i.$$

Note that μ_i and μ_ρ are determined uniquely by $\mathbf{a}_i$'s as given in (4.2).

Let ρ' be a linear action of T^s on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\rho'} = \mathbb{C}$. Let $D' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded quasi-Reinhardt domain with respect to ρ' and $0 \in D'$. Then all definitions for ρ carry over to ρ'.

We call

$$K_{\rho\rho'} := \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r;\ d_\mathbf{k} \le \mu_{\rho'}\}$$

the *quasi-resonance set* of ρ and ρ',

$$V_{\rho\rho'} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k}\in K_{\rho\rho'}} V_\mathbf{k}$$

the *quasi-resonance space* of ρ and ρ', and

$$\nu_{\rho\rho'} := \max\{D_\mathbf{k};\ \mathbf{k} \in K_{\rho\rho'}\}$$

the *quasi-resonance order* of ρ and ρ'.

More explicitly, for $1 \le i \le n$, define the *i-th quasi-resonance set* of ρ and ρ' as

$$K_{i\rho'} = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r;\ d_\mathbf{k} \le \mu'_i\},$$

the *i-th quasi-resonance space* of ρ and ρ' as

$$V_{i\rho'} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k}\in K_{i\rho'}} V_\mathbf{k},$$

and the *i-th quasi-resonance order* of ρ and ρ' as

$$\nu_{i\rho'} := \max\{D_\mathbf{k};\ \mathbf{k} \in K_{i\rho'}\}.$$

Then the quasi-resonance order is just

$$\nu_{\rho\rho'} = \max_{1\le i\le n} \nu_{i\rho'}.$$

When $\rho = \rho'$, we will drop ρ' from the subscript of all the above notations.

Let $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n) : D \to D'$ be a biholomorphism fixing the origin. Let u and U be the Jacobian of f and $F = f^{-1}$ respectively. By Proposition 3.1, we know that both u and U are constants. By (3.2), we have for $\varphi \in H^2(D)$ and $1 \le i \le n$

$$\langle uf_i, \varphi\rangle_D = \langle z_i, U\varphi \circ F\rangle_{D'}.$$

Since $F(0) = 0$, we have $\langle z_i, U\varphi \circ F\rangle_{D'} = 0$ if the zero order of φ at 0 is bigger than μ'_i by (4.1) and (5.1). Thus $\langle f_i, \varphi\rangle_D = 0$ if $\varphi \in V_\mathbf{k}$ with $\mathbf{k} \notin K_{i\rho'}$. Hence $f_i \in V_{i\rho'}$ and the degree of f_i is less than of equal to $\nu_{i\rho'}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Combining Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.4, we get

Corollary 5.1. *Let ρ, ρ', D and D' be as in Theorem 1.1. If D and D' are biholomorphic, then there is a polynomial biholomorphism between D and D' such that the degree of f is less than or equal to the quasi-resonance order of ρ and ρ'.*

In the special case that $\mu_\rho = \mu_{\rho'} = 1$, it is clear that $\nu_{\rho\rho'} = 1$. Hence, we have the following generalization of the classical Cartan's Linearity Theorem.

Corollary 5.2. *Let D and D' as in Theorem 1.1. Assume that the resonance orders μ_ρ and $\mu_{\rho'}$ of ρ and ρ' are equal to one. Then any biholomorphism between D and D' fixing the origin is linear. And D and D' are biholomorphically equivalent if and only if they are linearly equivalent.*

Example 5.1. Let $\mathbb{B}^2$ be the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < 1$. Consider biholomorphic maps ϕ_k given by $(w_1, w_2) = \phi_k(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2 + z_1^k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then the images $\Omega_k = \phi_k(\mathbb{B}^2)$ are given by $|w_1|^2 + |w_2 - w_1^k|^2 < 1$. One readily checks that Ω_k is a quasi-circular domain with weight $(1, k)$ for each k. Hence for each k, ϕ_k gives a biholomorphic map between a quasi-Reinhardt domain of rank two and a quasi-Reinhardt domain of rank one.

In view of the above example and Theorem 1.1, we define the *maximal rank* of a quasi-Reinhardt domain D to be the maximum of the ranks of all the quasi-Reinhardt domains biholomorphic to D.

6. Two special cases of the main theorem

In this section, we consider two special cases of Theorem 1.1.

6.1. **Biholomorphisms between quasi-circular domains.** Let ρ and ρ' be two holomorphic linear actions of S^1 on $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by $\rho(\lambda)(z) = (\lambda^{m_1} z_1, \cdots, \lambda^{m_n} z_n)$ and $\rho'(\lambda)(w) = (\lambda^{m_1'} w_1, \cdots, \lambda^{m_n'} w_n)$. Assume that $0 < m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_n$ and $0 < m_1' \leq \cdots \leq m_n'$. Note that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^\rho = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\rho'} = \mathbb{C}$. Let D and D' be two bounded quasi-circular domains containing the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to ρ and ρ' respectively.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to see that $d_k \geq \frac{k}{m_n}$ and $D_k \leq \frac{k}{m_1}$. Thus, $D_k \leq \frac{m_n}{m_1} d_k$ and $\mu_{\rho'} \leq \frac{m_n'}{m_1'}$. Hence, the quasi-resonance order $\nu_{\rho\rho'}$ of ρ and ρ' satisfies the estimate

$$\nu_{\rho\rho'} \leq \frac{m_n m_n'}{m_1 m_1'}.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we have

Corollary 6.1. *Let D and D' be two quasi-circular domains as above. If $f : D \to D'$ is a biholomorphism with $f(0) = 0$, then f is a polynomial map whose degree is less than or equal to $\frac{m_n m_n'}{m_1 m_1'}$.*

6.2. **Automorphisms of quasi-Reinhardt domains.** In the special case that $\rho = \rho'$ and $D = D'$, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 5.2 read as follows.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded quasi-Reinhardt domain with respect to ρ with $0 \in D$. If $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n)$ is an automorphism of D fixing the origin, then f is a polynomial map such that $\deg f_i \leq \nu_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $\deg f \leq \nu_\rho$.*

Corollary 6.3. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded quasi-Reinhardt domain with respect to ρ with $0 \in D$. If $\mu_\rho = 1$ then any automorphism of D fixing the origin is linear.*

There is no simple explicit formula for ν_i in terms of the weights $\mathbf{a}_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$, as given in (4.2). On the other hand, if all a_{ij} are nonnegative, a rough estimate of $\nu_{i\rho}$ can be given as follows.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded quasi-Reinhardt domain with respect to ρ with $0 \in D$. Assume that all $a_{ij} \geq 0, (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r)$ and $|\mathbf{a}_1| \leq \cdots \leq |\mathbf{a}_n|$. Let $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n)$ be an automorphism of D with $f(0) = 0$. Then we have*

$$\deg f_i \leq \frac{|\mathbf{a}_i||\mathbf{a}_n|}{|\mathbf{a}_1|^2}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, *and*

$$\deg f \leq \frac{|\mathbf{a}_n|^2}{|\mathbf{a}_1|^2}.$$

Proof. Note that, since $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^\rho = \mathbb{C}$, we have $|\mathbf{a}_i| \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Since all $V_\mathbf{k}$ are expanded by monomials, if $z^\alpha = z_i^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n} \in V_\mathbf{k}$, then by (4.3), we have

$$\alpha_1 \cdot |\mathbf{a}_1| + \cdots + \alpha_n \cdot |\mathbf{a}_n| = |\mathbf{k}|.$$

Thus, we get

$$d_\mathbf{k} \geq \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{|\mathbf{a}_n|}, \quad D_\mathbf{k} \leq \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{|\mathbf{a}_1|},$$

and hence

$$D_\mathbf{k} \leq \frac{|\mathbf{a}_n|}{|\mathbf{a}_1|} d_\mathbf{k}.$$

Therefore, for $\mathbf{k} \in K_i$, we have

$$D_\mathbf{k} \leq \frac{|\mathbf{a}_n|}{|\mathbf{a}_1|} D_{\mathbf{a}_i} \leq \frac{|\mathbf{a}_n|}{|\mathbf{a}_1|} \frac{|\mathbf{a}_i|}{|\mathbf{a}_1|}.$$

The proposition then follows from Theorem 6.2. □

Remark 6.1. Even in the case discussed in Proposition 6.4, Theorem 6.2 is stronger than Proposition 6.4 and hence Proposition 6.4 and Corollary 6.1 are far from optimal. For example, consider the action of S^1 on $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by $\rho(e^{i\theta})(z_1, z_2) = (e^{im_1\theta} z_1, e^{im_2\theta} z_2)$, with $m_2 > m_1 > 1$ and $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$. Then the bound on $\deg f$ given by Theorem 6.2 is one and hence f is a linear map. But the bound given by Proposition 6.4 is very big if $m_2 \gg m_1$.

References

[1] R. Braun, W. Kaup, H. Upmeier; *On the automorphisms of circular and Reinhardt domains in complex Banach spaces*, Manuscripta Math. **25** (1978), 97-133.

[2] T. Bröcker, T. Dieck; Representations of Compact Lie Groups, Grad. Texts in Math. **98**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[3] H. Cartan; *Les fonctions de deux variables complexes et le problème de la représentation analytique*, J. Math. Pures. Appl. **10** (1931), 1-114.

[4] P. Heinzner; *On the automorphisms of special domains in* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **41** (1992), 707-712.

[5] W. Kaup; *Über das Randverhalten von holomorphen Automorphismen beschränkter Gebiete*, Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 257-270.

[6] R. Narasimhan; *Several Complex Variables*, Chicago Lect. Math., The University of Chicago Press, 1971.

[7] F. Rong; *On automorphisms of quasi-circular domains fixing the origin*, Bull. Sci. Math. **140** (2016), 92-98.

[8] A. Yamamori; *Automorphisms of normal quasi-circular domains*, Bull. Sci. Math. **138** (2014), 406-415.

[9] A. Yamamori; *Sufficient conditions for the linearity of origin-preserving automorphisms of quasi-circular domains*, Preprint, arXiv:1404.0309.

F. Deng: Matematisk Institutt, Universitetet i Oslo, Postboks 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway; and School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

E-mail address: fushengd@math.uio.no, fshdeng@ucas.ac.cn

F. Rong: Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dong Chuan Road, Shanghai, 200240, P.R. China

E-mail address: frong@sjtu.edu.cn